

May 6, 2025

It seems it is finally time to make some changes to the National Firearms Act (NFA). The groundswell of support for this would be staggering, as well as create another source of revenue to our Treasury. If you want to be known for some notable legislation sponsored, this one will get you on the front page with lots of press. With proper visibility, it could very well change blue states to red.

During WWII there were a couple of interesting facts concerning the decisions made by the AXIS powers. Do you know which European country was not invaded/conquered by the Nazis? How about why the Japanese never invaded the continental United States?

The answer to the Japanese question was because Admiral Yamamoto said that "behind every blade of grass (in America) was a rifle". The answer to the Nazi question? Switzerland, for essentially the same reason.

Switzerland has had mandatory military service since WWI or before; but with one notable exception when compared to our military discharge policy.....every Swiss service man/woman takes their military firearm back home. That means not only is everyone in that country armed, but nowadays they return home with fully automatic weapons. More than likely every household in Switzerland has access to a live machinegun. Have there been any problems with the Swiss and their proliferation of firearms? I wasn't aware of them invading anyone or starting border skirmishes.

Nazi generals knew they might be able to get their tank/armored/assault divisions in-country on those thin hairpin mountain roads, but when it came to their support infantry or even re-supply; they would in turn be fired on by every 10yr old to great grandparent marksmen/women from elevated (and camouflaged) positions.

I could see that sudden mortality rate skyrocketing as being kind of disheartening for the Nazi troop morale, regardless of their [Pervitin](#) use:

<https://www.history.com/articles/inside-the-drug-use-that-fueled-nazi-germany>

Those same generals came up with a great idea, let's just sign a treaty and go around.

I wonder if China, Russia, drug cartels, terrorists, traffickers, gang-bangers, Globalists, and/or the UN would think the same way. Instead of our adversaries always having the advantage, the playing field would be leveled. Have you ever heard of serial killers and/or mass shootings in Switzerland? Has any other country even messed with Switzerland? I haven't noticed anything; could there be some correlation here? Look at Swiss immigration policies as well. They are a pretty closed society and I haven't heard of any street-gang issues there.

When our founding fathers wrote the 2nd amendment, we were still receiving military aid from the French (rifles included), and there were **NO** differences between styles of operation/function with the French firearms, and those used by the British. If machineguns were available back then, the French would have made certain we got those as well because at the time they were also supplying us with artillery, which Francis Scott Key noticed before penning his "one-hit-wonder" in 1814.

The real basis of the 2nd amendment is for the government to respect its citizens, not bully them. The history of gun control internet link is below:

<https://www.spartanfirearmstraininggroup.com/a-brief-history-of-gun-control-a-disarmed-population-is-easier-to-oppress-than-an-armed-one/#:~:text=Soviet%20citizens%20were%20allowed%20to,that%20firearm%20ownership%20was%20outlawed>

The above article is a good reference of what happened in which year around the world, along with their inevitable follow-on genocides, so always remember those results of the human losses when considering those "control" policies.

When the bullying gets really egregious around here, you end up having to send D.O.G.E. to the rescue to cut off funds for frivolous/delaying lawsuits by activist attorneys, judges, and Soros & Son funded NGO's and their minions.

Another Swiss item of interest....suppressors. Over there, they are treated and sold like any basic firearm accessory (sling, scope, magazine etc.). With the entire Swiss population who enjoys shooting as a sport, you are considered rude by your neighbors if you don't use one, especially with those echoes rolling all thru those Alps. You also don't have to worry about those muzzle reports creating any avalanches pushing your home, cars, and everything else down some slope.

As most firearms owners know, suppressors are not 'silencers'; all while Hollywood exaggerates their effectiveness in James Bond films. Movies tend to persuade society (either intentionally or accidentally), and they depict silencers as being a part of assassin-only weapons, when in reality, no one likes to wear hearing protection which then messes their hairdos/wigs/hairpieces.

Here is what I am referring to specifically regarding changes:

The **National Firearms Act (NFA) of 1934** imposes an excise tax on the manufacture and transfer of Title 2 firearms (machineguns, suppressors, short barrel rifles (SBR's) and mandates the registration of those firearms.

Modify the NFA with the repeal of the following (2) acts, as well as remove from the NFA act the regulations/registrations/taxes on both short barrel rifles as well as suppressors.

- 1. Gun Control Act of 1986** banned Importation of NFA firearms
- 2. Firearm Owners Protection Act of 1986 / Hughes Amendment** which prohibits individuals from acquiring a machinegun which was US manufactured after 1986

The Supreme Court recently held that the NFA provision (criminalizing possession of certain firearms-including machineguns) was not in violation of the Second Amendment's restriction, and therefore **WAS CONSTITUTIONAL**.

The Supreme Court also ruled against pistol braces being classified as buttstocks with regards to short barrel rifles. We all know how those braces are really used; they are really used as buttstocks.

The Supreme Court also just recently allowed Forced Reset Triggers FRT's (which are unregistered and run as fast as full auto) back into regular domestic sales for both the AR-15 as well as the AK-47. Check out the video below (especially it's final comparison segment).

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QDQEdQLxBn8>

Did you notice the soundtrack in the above video? Since you can't really hear any difference between the cyclic rate of Forced Reset or full auto, what difference does it really make to differentiate them at all?

With Forced Resets, there is no additional revenue stream to the Treasury, nor any possibility of tracing for the FBI; unlike there would be if registered full autos were re-legalized for everyone, not to mention the major boost in domestic firearm sales and manufacture.

Make machinegun transfers done solely thru FFL 4473 paperwork (no individual sales/transfers), and after National Instant Criminal (background check) System (NICS) approval, the FFL/SOT then collects the excise taxes for Treasury as either per weapon, or possibly create a 'blanket' tax for multiples.

Remember, Tommyguns and Browning Automatic Rifles were sold via mail-order from Sears's catalogs and from hardware stores in the 1920's without background checks.

Too bad NICS wasn't already in existence back then; Mafia button-men would never have been able to equip themselves for St. Valentine Day (1929), nor Bonnie and Clyde's evil maelstrom (1934). Had NICS already been in place, the ensuing NFA legislation would have never been introduced, and further, this topic would never have to be discussed.

NICS would have prevented it back then, just as it already does today.

There is also the current H.R. 850 SHUSH act, which is for suppressors going around now. But I don't know its status as far as debate/passage.

Let's Make America Great Again, and this would certainly be one way to do it. How about Make America Invincible Again?

Sincerely,

Randy Huskey

[linkedin.com/in/randy-huskey-83bbb815](https://www.linkedin.com/in/randy-huskey-83bbb815)